



City of Mentor-on-the-Lake

5860 Andrews Road, Mentor-on-the-Lake, OH 44060-2827

Phone: (440) 257-7216 Fax: (440) 257-2766

www.CityMOL.org

MINUTES REGULAR/VIRTUAL MEETING OF COUNCIL CITY OF MENTOR-ON-THE-LAKE May 24, 2022

The Regular/Virtual Meeting of Council of May 24, 2022, for the City of Mentor-on-the-Lake, Ohio, was called to Order at 7:00 P.M. by Council President Robert Johnson.

CALL TO ORDER: PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

A Moment of Silence was held for the children and teacher who were killed today in Uvalde, Texas.

ROLL CALL:

Aye: At Large: KEVIN BITTNER, Vice-President
Aye: At Large: JIM LUNDER
Aye: Ward 4: DESIREA THOMPSON
Aye: At Large: MICHELLE MOORE
Aye: Ward 1: DAVID CHABUT
Aye: Ward 2: PAUL MORRIS
Aye: Ward 3: ROB JOHNSON, President

READING OF MINUTES: Regular/Virtual Meeting of Council – May 10, 2022

MOTION MADE BY MR. MORRIS, Seconded by Mr. Chabut, for Approval of the Minutes of the May 10, 2022, Regular/Virtual Meeting of Council. **ROLL CALL:** Ayes: Council Member Bittner, Lunder, Thompson, Moore, Chabut, Morris, Johnson. Nays: None.

MOTION CARRIED: 7/0

CORRESPONDENCE:

Mr. Chabut read an email dated May 23, 2022, from Tess Turi, 7373 Salida, asking Council to vote in favor of the moratorium. A copy of her email is attached.

Mrs. Thompson read an email dated May 24, 2022, from Cynthia Chesters, 5464 Coronada. Ms. Chesters voiced her opposition to the Dollar Store and the development of the property at the corner of Andrews and Lake Shore Boulevard. A copy of her email is attached. Mrs. Thompson also read an email from Ninette Murzyn, 7708 Twilight, dated May 23, 2022, again stating her opposition to a Dollar Store. Ms. Murzyn also had concerns about the traffic on Twilight Drive. A copy of her email is attached.

Mr. Chabut read an email he had just received this afternoon from Susan Lenahan, 7359 Salida. Ms. Lenahan voiced her opposition to the development of the property on the corner of Andrews Road and Lake Shore Boulevard, the Dollar Store, and suggested the City use SLFRF funds to fix the erosion at Overlook BeachPark and to enforce the City Ordinances. A copy of her email is attached.

ADMINISTRATIVE AND DEPARTMENT HEAD REPORTS:

Mayor & Safety Director – Mr. Eva

Mayor Eva stated over the weekend he had forwarded to all Members of Council the CT Consultants estimate of \$1.2 million for the Overlook Beach Park revetment project. Mrs. Bartone, along with getting the estimate, has sent a funding request to State Representative Dan Troy to possibly get assistance through the State part of the American Rescue Plan Act or infrastructure funds to save the shoreline. He asked Council to pass a motion tonight to enter the park property into the SID program to finance the revetment project. Mayor Eva stated he was down at the park a couple of Saturdays ago. The playground equipment is possibly 25' to 30' from the edge of the property. There were many cars in the parking lot, but he did not see that many people. He went to the edge; there are people climbing down the cliff to get to the beach. Along with that, he received an email from an individual asking for permission to climb down to the beach to get a piece of driftwood. He said no, the individual did not have his permission to go down there and get anything; he is responsible for that and the City would be liable if something happened. The individual came back asked what if he signed a waiver, would Mayor Eva let him go down. Mayor Eva responded no, there was no waiver for the individual, and stay away from the beach.

Mayor Eva advised Mrs. Bartone indicated the note on tonight's Agenda expires on June 10, 2022, and the renewal legislation needs to be passed tonight. She mentioned that the rate is 2.35. The tax budget is on First Reading tonight. The Third Hearing and Public Hearing will be on June 28, 2022.

Mayor Eva said, regarding the legislation for the SLFRF funding mentioned in Mr. Chabut's correspondence, it would be nice to say that \$10 Million is coming to the City, but in all actuality, it is probably a little over \$750,000.00. The plan right now is to use some of that money to make improvements to the Police Department locker room. Currently, it is unisex, and the City does have males and females using it. It is also used as an evidence room. Along with the locker room, Mrs. Bartone mentions replacing the curtain divider. If a Bill is passed by the House, the City could receive another \$387,000.00 which money could be used to offset some of the cost of the revetment project. The hope is that Representative Troy could come up with funding.

Administrative Director – Mrs. Bartone (Absent)

Law Director – Mr. Lyons

Mr. Lyons said, regarding the City's \$10 Million resolution, again it is not \$10 Million. This is a housekeeping Resolution so that the City is showing the Federal Government that it has elected the standard allowance which is up to \$10 Million, and the City is way under receiving that amount. So when the Auditors come in, we don't have to prove to them that all of that expenditure is related to COVID but instead we can just use it for general governmental services. Council can pass it today, or pass it on Third Reading. There is no urgency to it.

QUESTIONS TO ADMINISTRATION AND DEPARTMENT HEADS:

Mr. Morris asked Mayor Eva if Mrs. Bartone is ok. Mayor Eva said she is. Mr. Morris said he was going to ask her about properties throughout the City that are probably abandoned and the grass is extremely high. He has also had questions about why the Burger King property is not being taken care of. Mayor Eva said, as far as Burger King, he talked to the Service Department today. The letter expires tomorrow. If it is not cut by tomorrow, they will cut it.

BOARD/COMMITTEE/COMMISSION REPORTS:

Council of the Whole / May 16, 2022 / Mr. Johnson

Mr. Johnson advised Council of the Whole met on Monday, May 16, 2022. The topic was the PUD draft. They went through it section by section and edited it. It will be amended tonight to reflect those changes.

Board of Zoning Appeals / May 16, 2022 / Mr. Lunder

Mr. Lunder advised the Board of Zoning Appeals met on May 16, 2022, at 6:00 P.M. The purpose of the meeting was a request for a variance at 7655 Fern Drive. In attendance was Ray Gieling, Chairperson, Mike Sweeney, Council Member Lunder, Council President Johnson, Council Vice President Bittner, Mayor Eva, Eric Freedman, owner of the property who attended virtually, and Maggie Miller, Secretary. Plans were submitted for an addition at 7655 Fern Drive. After going over the plans, everything was in order with the Ordinance and the permit was issued. The Meeting adjourned at 6:15 P.M.

Ordinance Committee / May 18, 2022 / Mrs. Thompson

Mrs. Thompson advised the Ordinance Committee met on May 18, 2022, at 7:00 P.M. Present were Mrs. Moore, Mr. Morris, Mrs. Thompson, Council President Johnson, and residents. The Committee discussed the right of way, and it is still narrowing down what is and is not allowed. They asked the Committee to drive around the City and see what items they would find in the right of way and their suggestions if it was going to be a nuisance problem. The Committee talked about golf carts. The Police Chief had reached out, saying the golf cart inspections were getting higher and he asked about a potential inspection fee. The Committee came up with \$10.00 for a resident and \$20.00 for a non-resident, which is non-refundable. They would not need to pay for re-inspection if the inspection failed. The Committee also discussed the moratorium and getting that legislation put together for tonight's Meeting. The Meeting adjourned at 8:11 P.M.

OPEN TO THE PUBLIC TO SPEAK: 7:25 P.M.

Susan Lenahan, 7359 Salida. She supported a moratorium on small box stores.

Earle Kurress, 7523 Salida. He supported a moratorium on small box stores.

Tracy Zakraysek, 8036 Linden. She supported the moratorium.

Andy Rose, 8027 Linden. Mr. Rose asked that the SLFRF Resolution be passed tonight as the House did pass the additional payment and it is expected to pass the Senate this week. Mr. Rose said he is against the moratorium. He thinks it is bad for economic development; it sends a bad message across the board. Regarding the PUD, he does not have an issue with it. He likes the PUD, he likes seeing that property redeveloped.

Scott Wilhelm, 7573 Salida. He supports the moratorium. He asked for a clarification on the process for the PUD legislation.

Tess Turi, 7373 Salida. She supports the moratorium.

Jeff Shick, 7533 Salida. He supports the moratorium. He had concerns about the PUD and allowing more rentals in the City. He also talked about his conversation with Church officials regarding the sale of that property.

Mia Turi, 7373 Salida. She supports the moratorium. She is against the PUD and its current language. The current changes are not what the majority would like to see happen.

Bill Cleveland, 7607 Salida. He supports the moratorium. He also supports the PUD, but not more apartments.

Cecilia Delia, 5382 Coronado. She supports the moratorium.

Juanita Miller, 7597 Salida. She supports the moratorium.

Earle Kurress, 7523 Salida. He thinks the foundation of the PUD is a good start, and suggested definitions stated in other City Ordinances should be included.

CLOSED OPEN TO THE PUBLIC SECTION: 7:52 P.M.

LEGISLATION BEFORE COUNCIL:

RESOLUTION NO. 2022-R-13

A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE 2023 BUDGET

There were no questions. Mr. Johnson said Resolution No. 2022-R-13 is placed on Second Reading.

RESOLUTION NO. 2022-R-14

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CITY ADMINISTRATION TO USE THE STANDARD ALLOWANCE FOR THE SLFRF FUNDS THAT THE CITY HAS RECEIVED FROM THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY

MOTION MADE BY MRS. THOMPSON, Seconded by Mr. Bittner, for Suspension of the Rules. ROLL CALL: Ayes: Council Member Bittner, Lunder, Thompson, Moore, Chabut, Morris, Johnson. Nays: None.

MOTION CARRIED: 7/0

MOTION MADE BY MRS. THOMPSON, Seconded by Mr. Bittner, for Passage of Resolution No. 2022-R-14. ROLL CALL: Ayes: Council Member Bittner, Lunder, Thompson, Moore, Chabut, Morris, Johnson. Nays: None.

MOTION CARRIED: 7/0

ORDINANCE NO. 2022-O-05 (SECOND READING) (REFERRED TO COUNCIL OF THE WHOLE)

AN ORDINANCE CREATING CHAPTER 1255 – LAKEFRONT PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT (PUD) DISTRICT OF THE CODIFIED ORDINANCES

Mr. Johnson said this has now been taken out of Council of the Whole, and asked for a Motion to Amend.

MOTION MADE BY MRS. THOMPSON, Seconded by Mr. Lunder, to amend Ordinance No. 2022-O-05. ROLL CALL: Ayes: Council Member Bittner, Lunder, Thompson, Moore, Chabut, Morris, Johnson. Nays: None.

MOTION CARRIED: 7/0

Mr. Johnson asked for discussion. Mr. Morris said after the Council of the Whole Meeting, he reread this Ordinance, and he does have some concerns. Multi-family was eliminated and he got the impression

LEGISLATION BEFORE COUNCIL (Continued):

ORDINANCE NO. 2022-O-05 (SECOND READING) (REFERRED TO COUNCIL OF THE WHOLE), (Continued):

rentals were also being eliminated, but he does not think this was totally done. It should be made clear that the town houses would be condos and not apartments, not rental units. Second, he would like Council to consider the 35' minimum set back. He can't find where any other leniency for setbacks in any of the other Zoning Ordinances. He would like Council to consider keeping it at 35', no second sentence, let Planning and Zoning and the Board of Zoning Appeals deal with that if it comes up.

Mrs. Thompson also had a comment regarding the set back. In the PUD it states it should align with the existing businesses on Andrews Road, which right now would be Mario's and the skating rink, and they are 18', Biggie's is -0- for setbacks, so if it should be in alignment, it should be in alignment with what is already there, so 35' is not in alignment with the current outline of the street. Mr. Morris said in his opinion if something should happen to those buildings, will we allow them to build them back in the exact place where they are at, rather than use an appropriate setback. He does not believe you have the option in a residential area, it is 40'. This is what he feels this should be.

Mrs. Moore said she would like the Planning and Zoning Commission to weigh in on this. They have been doing this for many more years than she has; let's see what kind of feedback we get back and we can discuss this further. Mr. Morris said he would go along with that. Mr. Johnson said this is referred to the Planning and Zoning Commission. Their next meeting is scheduled for June 6, 2022. He will also be scheduling another Council of the Whole Meeting to review anything they recommend.

Mr. Johnson said Ordinance No. 2022-O-05 will go to Third Reading.

ORDINANCE NO. 2022-O-07 (SECOND READING)

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE 2022 APPROPRIATION ORDINANCES AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY

Mr. Johnson asked if there was any discussion, questions, or motions on this Ordinance. Hearing none, Mr. Johnson said Ordinance No. 2022-O-07 would go to Third Reading.

ORDINANCE NO. 2022-O-08

AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR THE ISSUANCE AND SALE OF \$875,000 OF NOTES, IN ANTICIPATION OF THE ISSUANCE OF BONDS, (I) TO PAY THE COSTS OF ACQUIRING A VEHICLE AND RELATED EQUIPMENT FOR THE SERVICE DEPARTMENT AND ALL RELATED EXPENSES THERETO; (II) TO PAY A PORTION OF THE COSTS OF THE ACQUISITION AND INSTALLATION OF THE LAKE STREET TRUNK STORM SEWER, AND ALL RELATED EXPENSES THERETO, (III) TO PAY A PORTION OF THE COSTS OF THE ACQUISITION AND INSTALLATION OF THE PINEHURST STREET TRUNK STORM SEWER, AND ALL RELATED EXPENSES THERETO, AND (IV) TO PAY A PORTION OF THE COSTS OF THE ACQUISITION OF CITY VEHICLES INCLUDING A DUMP TRUCK AND A POLICE SQUAD CAR, AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY

Mr. Johnson said this must be passed tonight because the renewal is due by June 10, 2022, before the next Council Meeting, and asked if there were any questions or discussion. Mr. Morris asked if this was a continuation of what we do almost every year. Mr. Johnson said yes.

LEGISLATION BEFORE COUNCIL (Continued):

ORDINANCE NO. 2022-O-08 (Continued):

MOTION MADE BY MR. BITTNER, Seconded by Mr. Lunder, for Suspension of the Rules. ROLL CALL: Ayes: Council Member Bittner, Lunder, Thompson, Moore, Chabut, Morris, Johnson. Nays: None.

MOTION CARRIED: 7/0

MOTION MADE BY MR. MORRIS, Seconded by Mr. Lunder, for Passage of Ordinance No. 2022-O-08. ROLL CALL: Ayes: Council Member Bittner, Lunder, Thompson, Moore, Chabut, Morris, Johnson. Nays: None.

MOTION CARRIED: 7/0

ORDINANCE NO. 2022-O-09

AN ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING A 12 MONTH MORATORIUM ON THE ACCEPTANCE AND PROCESSING OF APPLICATIONS FOR ZONING AND/OR OCCUPANCY PERMIT APPROVALS FOR SMALL BOX DISCOUNT STORES AND THE ISSUANCE OF SUCH APPROVALS IN THE CITY OF MENTOR-ON-THE-LAKE, AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY

Mr. Johnson asked for questions. Mrs. Moore asked Mr. Lyons, there has already been a presentation on this, would passing such a moratorium set us up for any legal repercussions based on that presentation. Mr. Lyons asked if she was talking about the presentation by the proposed developer of that site. She said yes. Mr. Lyons said they would certainly have the right to file a legal action. He thinks most people have been tuned in what is going on regarding small box stores. The City of Cleveland and suburbs in the southern part of Cuyahoga County have done moratoriums on small box stores, and they have not been challenged. This does not mean they could not challenge this. There is a Supreme Court case from 2012 that talked about moratoriums and what kind of damages could result. In terms of trying to get an injunction to prevent us from going forward on this, they would have the right to do so and then it would be up to a Judge to decide whether they could do it and whether they suffered damages. There is still a long way for them getting approval, if they get approval; it is not guaranteed that their application, as it is already submitted, will be approved by the Mentor-on-the-Lake Planning and Zoning Commission, pursuant to standards set out in 1242.0215. There is an informal process and a formal one which is set out in the Ordinances, and they have started, and the first question the Court will have is if there has been an application. He has his personal legal opinion as to how a Court would look at that. They are familiar with the law and whether they would go forward with a challenge, but anytime we pass anything, someone could challenge it. Mr. Johnson asked since because this is in fact Zoning, does Planning and Zoning need to weigh in on this at all? Mr. Lyons said that was a good question, and referred to 1242.06. He thinks somebody who opposes this would have a legal argument that we are amending the regulations because we are stopping development for this period of time. Once somebody files an application, they have some very strong legal arguments. He knows people have spoken pretty passionately about this, but as in many social government situations, usually there is no magic bullet to solving a particular problem, and pluses and minuses are weighed by Council.

Mr. Johnson asked for any questions, discussion. There was none.

MOTION MADE BY MR. MORRIS, Seconded by Mr. Bittner, for Suspension of the Rules. ROLL CALL: Ayes: Council Member Bittner, Morris. Nays: Council Member Lunder, Thompson, Moore, Chabut, Johnson.

MOTION FAILED: 2/5

LEGISLATION BEFORE COUNCIL (Continued):

ORDINANCE NO. 2022-O-09 (Continued):

Mr. Johnson stated Ordinance No. 2022-O-09 will go to Second Reading. Mr. Lyons asked if Mr. Johnson wanted to refer this to Planning and Zoning. Mr. Johnson said this will be referred to the Planning and Zoning Commission.

OLD BUSINESS: (None)

NEW BUSINESS:

Mr. Johnson said he is creating a Committee to study and suggest regulations per Ordinance 2022-O-09, and one of the things the Committee will do, if Council is going to pass a moratorium, will be to study the effects of the moratorium and the proposed legislation. The Committee will be Chaired by Mr. Bittner; and other members of the Committee will be Mr. Morris, Mr. Chabut, and Mrs. Moore. The reason he did this is because it affects the Ordinance Committee, the Zoning Committee, and the Finance Committee. Mr. Morris asked if there is a reason why this was not sent to Community Development. Mr. Johnson it could fit there, and asked if Mr. Morris would like it sent to Community Development. Mr. Morris said it is 6 of one, half a dozen of another. Mr. Johnson said it would be left as a Special Committee specifically to that topic.

Mr. Johnson asked if legislation was needed for the SID Motion, or is it just a vote by Council. Mayor Eva said he understood it was just a vote to join the SID; Mr. Lyons said it was a vote by Council to file petitions based on the numbers the Mayor mentioned.

MOTION MADE BY MRS. THOMPSON, Seconded by Mr. Morris, to submit a petition to join the SID. ROLL CALL: Ayes: Council Member Bittner, Lunder, Thompson, Moore, Chabut, Morris, Johnson. Nays: None.

MOTION CARRIED: 7/0

OPEN TO THE PUBLIC TO SPEAK: 8:14 P.M.

Susan Lenahan, 7359 Salida. Based on Council's decision on the moratorium and what Mr. Shick was able to determine in talking to the church, this deal is going to go down in the next week or so. She appreciates what was said about the Special Committee, is there something that will take place to give them an option here other than this property being sold because the moratorium is somewhat pointless if the property closes in the next week. Can anybody address that?

Mr. Johnson said for starters nothing has been approved in terms of developing that location as a Dollar General; that still has to go through the Planning and Zoning Commission and everything else. The moratorium, should it pass, could both affect that property, if nothing has happened with it, or any other future small box stores that want to come into the City. The Special Committee will start whenever they schedule a meeting to start reviewing the legislation, regulations they might want to put in place for small box stores.

Stan Powaski, 7589 Salida. Mr. Powaski asked if the moratorium had been passed tonight, and wait for a challenge, would a challenge by somebody who has already filed, would it be something Council could say to do away with a moratorium, so you are looking at maybe a challenge from some small box company coming in, and if they don't come in and don't complain, then you have one year to put a plan together, if the City was challenged, can it come back to Council to drop the moratorium without any

damage. Mr. Lyons said yes, but he cannot say without any damage; it would be minimal at best. Mr. Powaski asked about what the damage could be in terms of dollars. Mr. Lyons responded, and the rule is if Council passes something, they have the right to repeal it. Mr. Powaski asked about challenges in cities that have passed a moratorium, and said the question goes back to you could have passed it, done the right thing based on the feeling of a lot of the citizens and then if there is a challenge based on legal recommendation you could have followed through. This way you could have had the best of both worlds.

Tess Turi, 7373 Salida. She asked if there was a formal application submitted. Mr. Lyons said he can tell her what the Ordinances say, which says there is a preliminary step. As he understands it, they have submitted a preliminary plan, they have met with the Planning and Zoning Commission. His understanding is they have not filed a formal application or have been asked for any money at this time. Whether or not a court would find that they are following our ordinances, whether or not they are grandfathered in, he thinks is a small open question. He is not aware of any Ohio case law that interprets that, he is aware of a lot of case law that says you can't change the zoning on somebody once they filed an application. Ms. Turi asked about preliminary plans, to which Mr. Lyons responded. Ms. Turi had questions regarding the application process. Mr. Lyons said that is not approved by Council; that is approved by the Planning and Zoning Commission. After comments from the public, Mr. Lyons said they have not approved it. Mayor Eva said the developer has presented to the Planning and Zoning Commission their preliminary plan, the site plan, and what Planning and Zoning looks at is per the site plan, does it meet the setbacks and everything in the City's ordinances. From there it was preliminarily approved by Planning and Zoning. Ms. Turi said there are a lot of different explanations going on at the same time which is confusing to her, and furthermore, a lawyer understands it. She heard preliminary plan and nothing has been approved at this point, so if there is, have you researched this and heard what the residents have said, have you taken everything you have heard or researched this and do you feel good about the decisions you have made. She feels things are already decided upon. Mr. Lyons said Council's vote was not to approve or disapprove the moratorium. They voted to not suspend the Rules so it could be allowed to go to the Planning and Zoning Commission to follow the natural process for any type of a zoning change. That's all they did; they did not vote up or down on the moratorium. Ms. Turi said their understanding this decision was going to be made between the buyer and the seller. Mr. Lyons said you said this sale was closing in a week; he has not heard that. Mr. Shick said he will take the responsibility for that. He talked to Father Lucas who said in the first week of June they expect to have this closed, and he also said he did not know he was selling to a Dollar General, he thought they were selling to an investment banking community who building something and he did learn it was probably Dollar General, and Mr. Shick did not know how, he found out about this meeting and was at this Council meeting as was another representative of the Church. Mr. Shick was told the Church had set stipulations as to what they would not sell for under what the Catholic Church they represent feels are very important about health restrictions that they care about. He was not aware of the Dollar General and was not aware of the community discomfort with a Dollar General at which point he said he would have a parishioner who sits on the Finance Committee of the Church call. The Finance Committee member said he would give them the name of the realtor handling the sale. Mr. Shick has called the realtor several times and has had no reply. Ms. Turi apologized to everyone because she thought it had to be done now and was a true emergency. All they are asking is for Council to keep an open mind and keep options open; that Council and residents talk to each other. Mr. Lyons said he understands the next Planning and Zoning Commission meeting is scheduled for June 6, 2022, and is open to the public. Mr. Chabut said at the meeting before last, they presented their proposals, and Planning and Zoning had told them they had to do certain things and they did not approve anything. Planning and Zoning told them they wanted an impact study, certain things needed to be done before they would go forward. Ms. Turi asked if this is his reasoning for not going through with the moratorium. Mr. Chabut said they might not even be approved by Planning and Zoning, we don't know.

Mr. Johnson said they can continue this conversation later so other people can talk.

Bill Cleveland, 7607 Salida. He was a little disappointed on the PUD and the Dollar Store. He has heard nothing from Council, the Mayor, legal, who really acknowledge any validity or usefulness or good idea that has been presented by the public. Everybody sits there like a lump. We understand Council is not obligated to answer questions but we hoped you would be a little more interactive. So far we have heard no arguments from Council, the Mayor, or anybody else, why allowing more rental property is a good idea. The message they are getting is that everybody loves the idea of more apartments. Second, it is . really shame, but the American way, that most of us live in fear of the legal industry. Our Country is run by lawyers and everyone is scared to death of being sued. He commended Mr. Morris and Mr. Bittner for doing the right thing. Why are we letting Dollar Stores and Sommers push us around?

CLOSED OPEN TO THE PUBLIC SECTION: 8:34 P.M.

ANNOUNCEMENTS AND SETTING OF MEETINGS:

Special Committee on Moratorium	Tuesday, May 31, 2022, at 7:00 P.M.
Planning and Zoning Commission	Monday, June 6, 2022, at 6:00 P.M.
Council of the Whole	Tuesday, June 7, 2022, at 7:00 P.M.
(to discuss changes to the PUD and any changes to the CRA)	
Ordinance Committee	Monday, June 13, 2022, at 7:00 P.M.
Public Hearing on Ordinance No. 2022-O-05	Tuesday, June 14, 2022, at 7:00 P.M.
Public Hearing on Resolution No. 2022-R-13	Tuesday, June 28, 2022, at 7:00 P.M.
(2023 Budget)	
Regular Agenda Meeting	Thursday, June 9, 2022, at 6:00 P.M.
Regular Council Meeting	Tuesday, June 14, 2022, at 7:00 P.M.

ADJOURNMENT:

MOTION MADE BY MRS. THOMPSON, Seconded by Mr. Chabut, for Adjournment. **ROLL CALL:**
Ayes: Council Member Bittner, Lunder, Thompson, Moore, Chabut, Morris, Johnson. Nays: None.
MOTION CARRIED: 7/0

The Regular/Virtual Meeting of Council adjourned at 8:47 P.M.

APPROVED:

June 14, 2022
Date



ROBERT JOHNSON
President of Council

Attest: Joyce M. Dyer
Clerk of Council